## SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2003 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S026700** PEOPLE v. BROWN (ANDREW LAMONT)

Rehearing denied

On the court's own motion the opinion is modified. No change in judgment.

S034110 PEOPLE v. CREW (MARK C.)

Rehearing denied

Opinion modified – no change in judgment.

Moreno, J., is of the opinion the petition should

be granted.

S103343/S103340

F034709 Fifth Appellate District

F034873

PEOPLE v. REYNOSO et al.

Rehearing denied

S118561

A093424 First Appellate District,

A093649 Division Three

KINSMAN v. UNOCAL CORPORATION

Petition for review granted (civil case)

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter,

Werdegar & Moreno, JJ.

S109468 TOSCANO (ROSS) ON H.C.

OSC issued, returnable in Superior Court

The Director of Corrections is ordered to show cause before the Butte County Superior Court, when the matter is placed on calendar, why counsel was not ineffective in failing to argue the evidence was insufficient to prove that petitioner's 1982 conviction for federal bank robbery qualified as a serious felony under Penal Code section 1192.7, subd.(c), and why the true findings on the prior conviction allegations under Penal Code section 667, subds. (a)(1) and (b)-(i) should not be vacated. (See *People v. Jones* (1999) 75 Cal.App.34th

616, 631-635; see also *People v. Rodriguez* (1998) 17 Cal.4th 253, 261-262.) The return is to be filed on or before December 1, 2003.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Brown and Moreno, JJ.

S104024

A092567 First Appellate District,

A096594 Division Four

PEOPLE v. CHICO

Dismissed per rule 29.3(b), and remanded to CA 1/4

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Brown and Moreno, JJ.

S104634

C036624 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SCHLAGER

Dismissed per rule 29.3(b), and remanded to CA 3

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Brown and Moreno, JJ.

S109615

B152759 Second Appellate District, Division Five SOUKUP v. LAW OFFICES OF HAFIF

Transferred to CA 2/5 after hold

Review is dismissed, and the cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second District, Division Five, with directions that the court reconsider its decision in light of *Jarrow Formulas, Inc. v. LaMarche* (2002) 31 Cal.4th 728, 741, and *Navellier v. Sletten* (2002) 29 Cal.4th 82, 94-95.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Brown and Moreno, JJ.

S111545

B154311 Second Appellate District, Division Five SOUKUP v. HAFIF

Transferred to CA 2/5 after hold

Review is dismissed, and the cause is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second District, Division Five, with directions that the court reconsider its decision in light of *Jarrow* 

Formulas, Inc. v. LaMarche (2002) 31 Cal.4th 728, 741, and Navellier v. Sletten (2002) 29 Cal.4th 82, 94-95.

Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Brown and Moreno, JJ.

S092757

### BRANNER (WILLIE) ON H.C. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

Each claim is denied on the merits. Claim 24 is also denied, separately and independently, because it is not one that may be entertained on habeas corpus. (See *In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 830; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767; *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503.)

The following claims are each procedurally barred, separately and independently, as untimely (see *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780-781; *In re Clark, supra*, 5 Cal.4th at pp. 763-799): Claims 1 through 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49 (to the extent that it involves the trial court's alleged error in instructing the jury with CALJIC No. 8.84.2 and alleged prosecutorial misconduct during voir dire and closing argument that the jury must return a death verdict if it found that aggravating circumstances outweighed mitigating circumstances), 50, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69.

The following claims are each procedurally barred, separately and independently, as repetitive of a claim raised and rejected on appeal (see *In re Harris, supra*, 5 Cal.4th at pp. 824-829; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225): Claims 19, 20, 21 (to the extent that it is predicated on the cruel and unusual punishments clause of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, applicable to the states through the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment), 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34 (to the extent that it involves

prosecutorial misconduct allegedly in commenting on the time and expense of a possible penalty-phase retrial, eliciting testimony from Officer Robert Siegel concerning an armed robbery in St. Louis, Missouri, and objecting to trial counsel's argument to imply that the coroner would have testified that the murder in question reflected an execution-style killing), 35 (to the extent that it involves prosecutorial misconduct allegedly in suggesting that failure to reach a unanimous penalty verdict would result in a retrial, arguing that the jury need not take personal responsibility for its penalty decision, insinuating that the coroner would have testified that the murder in question reflected an execution-style killing, and arguing that the absence of certain mitigating circumstances amounted to the presence of aggravating circumstances), 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 (to the extent that it is predicated on the Sixth Amendment's impartial jury clause, applicable to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause, with regard to the exclusion of three prospective jurors), 41, 42, 43 (to the extent that it involves an assertedly guilt-prone jury and is predicated on the Sixth Amendment's impartial jury clause, applicable to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause), 45, 46, 47 (to the extent that it involves the allegedly erroneous admission of evidence in violation of the Eighth Amendment's cruel and unusual punishments clause and the Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause), 48 (to the extent that it involves alleged instructional error under state law), 49 (to the extent that it involves the trial court's alleged instructional error regarding the jury's sentencing discretion in violation of the Eighth Amendment's cruel and unusual punishments clause and the Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause). 50 (to the extent that it involves the trial court's alleged error in failing to modify CALJIC No. 8.84.1 to delete inapplicable mitigating factors in violation of the Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth

Amendments; failing to instruct that a finding of reasonable belief in extenuation for the murder in question could be deemed a mitigating circumstance in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments; failing to instruct against dual use of underlying crimes and double-counting of factors in aggravation in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments; and failing to instruct on considering in mitigation any aspect of petitioner's character or record, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments), 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, and 67 (to the extent that it involves alleged cumulative error under state law).

The following claims are each procedurally barred, separately and independently, because they could have been, but were not, raised on appeal (see In re Harris, supra, 5 Cal.4th at p. 825, fn. 3; In re Dixon (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759): Claims 21 (to the extent that it is predicated on the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments), 22, 27, 35 (to the extent that it involves prosecutorial misconduct by allegedly attempting to shift the burden of establishing premeditation to petitioner and commenting on petitioner's failure to present evidence that the murder was not premeditated), 40 (to the extent that it refers to 11 prospective jurors in addition to the 3 prospective jurors considered on appeal (see People v. Johnson (1989) 47 Cal.3d 1194, 1223), 43 (to the extent that it involves alleged prosecutorial misconduct), 47 (to the extent that it involves alleged erroneous admission of evidence under the Sixth Amendment), 48 (to the extent that it is predicated on the Fifth. Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments), 49 (to the extent that it involves prosecutorial misconduct, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's due process clause or the Sixth Amendment, during voir dire allegedly in commenting that the jury must return a death verdict if it found that aggravating circumstances outweighed mitigating circumstances), 50 (to the extent that it involves the trial court's error allegedly in failing to

identify circumstances as mitigating or aggravating; failing to instruct that a finding of reasonable belief in extenuation for the murder in question could be deemed a mitigating circumstance, in violation of the Sixth Amendment; failing to instruct against dual use of underlying crimes and double-counting of factors in aggravation, in violation of the Sixth Amendment; and failing to instruct on considering in mitigation any aspect of petitioner's character or record in violation of the Sixth Amendment), and 67 (to the extent that it is predicated on the Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments).

Brown, J., would deny all claims solely on the merits, except for Claim 24, which she would deny because it may not be entertained on habeas corpus.

Kennard, J., would issue an order to show cause as to Claim 2.

S094239

JONES (MICHAEL L.) ON H.C. Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on January 8, 2001, is denied.

The following claims are denied on the ground that they were raised and rejected on appeal (see *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225): Claim IIB to the extent that it incorporates by reference the contention in the opening brief that the prosecutor's challenge of two Black jurors violated the principles established by *Batson v. Kentucky* (1986) 476 U.S. 79, 89 and *People v. Wheeler* (1978) 22 Cal.3d 258, 276-277; and Claim IX to the extent it repeats contentions raised at the motion for new trial and on appeal.

Claim IV is denied as moot.

All claims are denied on the merits for failure to state a prima facie case for relief.

### CASH (RANDALL S.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on August 3, 2001, is denied.

Claims 1. E.; 3. C.; 5 (only as it relates to penalty phase); 6. B. and C.; 7, 8, 9, 10. B.-D.; 11. D.-K.; 13, 14, and 15 are denied as moot in light of our reversal of the sentence of death on direct appeal. (*People v. Cash* (2002) 28 Cal.4th 703.)

Each remaining claim and subclaim is denied on the merits for failure to state a prima facie case for relief.

Claim 11. C. is barred because it was raised and rejected on appeal. (See *In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 825; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

Claim 11. B. is barred because it could have been but was not raised on appeal. (See *In re Harris* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 813, 825, fn. 3; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

Justice Brown would deny the petition solely on the merits.

S118321

B168853 Second Appellate District, Division Three LISKER (BRUCE E.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., and Werdegar, J., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S118366

B157019 Second Appellate District, Division Eight KUNERT v. MISSION FINANCIAL SERVICES Petition for review & depublication request denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S118397

D040622 Fourth Appellate District, Division One PEOPLE v. HENDERSON Petition for review denied

S118490

E031802 Fourth Appellate District, Division Two RALPH'S GROCERY v. DEPARTMENT OF FOOD & Petition for review & depublication request denied

B169210 Second Appellate District,

Division One

BROWN v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., and Moreno, J., are of the opinion

the petition should be granted.

S118627

A099284 First Appellate District,

**Division Five** 

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (DARRELL)

Petition for review denied

S118628

A101013 First Appellate District,

Division Five

WILLIAMS (DARRELL) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S118680

B160174 Second Appellate District,

**Division Four** 

HANJIN INTERNATIONAL v. L.A. COUNTY

METRO. TRANSPORTATION AUTHORIT

Petition for review denied

S118709

A095924 First Appellate District,

Division Five

PEOPLE v. WASHINGTON

Petition for review denied

S118722

D038155 Fourth Appellate District,

Division One

PEOPLE v. LOWE

Petition for review denied

S118793

B151340 Second Appellate District,

**Division Seven** 

NATIONAL TECHNICAL SYSTEMS v. HARTFORD

FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY

Petition for review & publication request denied

S118799

A097072 First Appellate District,

A097454 Division Five

A097387

SHEA HOMES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP v.

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Petition for review & depublication request denied

S118812

B167612 Second Appellate District,

Division Eight

AKHTAR v. WCAB (ALAMO RENT A CAR)

Petition for review denied

	First Appellate District, Division Three	PEOPLE v. CUYUGAN Petition for review & publication request denied
<b>S118829</b> B165021 B160444	Second Appellate District, Division Five	MUFFULETTO (JOHN V.) ON H.C. Petition for review denied
	Second Appellate District, Division Five	PEOPLE v. MUFFULETTO Petition for review denied
<b>S118842</b> H023405	Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. INIGUEZ Petition for review denied
<b>S118845</b> A094419	First Appellate District, Division Three	PEOPLE v. MOEVAO Petition for review denied
<b>S118853</b> A099722	First Appellate District, Division Two	HARNEDY v. WHITTY Petition for review denied
<b>S118874</b> B166721	Second Appellate District, Division Two	BAGRATION v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied
<b>S118883</b> D039163	Fourth Appellate District, Division One	HOGAR v. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, CITY OF ESCONDIDO Petition for review & publication request denied
<b>S118911</b> B164267	Second Appellate District, Division Four	PEOPLE v. BELL Petition for review denied
<b>S118944</b> C041656	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. LATHAM Petition for review denied

S118973	CARBONEAU v.	STATE OF CALIFORNIA

C041893 Third Appellate District Petition for review and publication requests denied

S118990 MOUNTAINS COMMUNITY HOSPITAL DISTRICT

E032713 Fourth Appellate District, v. S.C (HMC GROUP)
Division Two Petition for review denied

S119028 UNITED EDUCATION INSTITUTE v. S.C. (CRUZ)

G032766 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Three

Baxter, J., and Moreno, J., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S119033 PEOPLE v. ORNELAS

B160778 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Eight

S119083 PEOPLE v. LESTER

E032501 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Two

S119102 PEOPLE v. S.C. (VASQUEZ)

B166963 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied Division Eight

The Reporter of Decisions is directed not to publish in the Official Appellate Reports the opinion in the above entitled appeal filed August 15, 2003, which appears at 111 Cal.App.4th 407. (Cal. Const., art. VI, section 14; rule 976, Cal. Rules of Court.)

S119121 EELLS v. ZELNER
B163975 Second Appellate District, Petition for review denied

Division Two

S119123 PEOPLE v. MALDONADO
C040176 Third Appellate District Petition for review denied

A101368 First Appellate District,

**Division Four** 

PEOPLE v. TITUS

Petition for review denied

S119153

D040222 Fourth Appellate District,

Division One

HECKERS v. DEPT. OF MOTOR VEHICLES

Petition for review denied

S119161

F041151 Fifth Appellate District

G. (KELLY), IN RE

Petition for review denied

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

S119164

F042355 Fifth Appellate District

F. (BOBBIE), IN RE

Petition for review denied

S119170

B162648 Second Appellate District,

Division Five

PEOPLE v. HADDAD

Petition for review denied

S119175

A101460 First Appellate District,

**Division Four** 

PEOPLE v. FELDER

Petition for review denied

S119178

B158338 Second Appellate District,

**Division Five** 

PEOPLE v. STERN

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should

be granted.

S119184

F042060 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KEAVENY

Petition for review denied

S119191

B157186 Second Appellate District,

Division Three

PEOPLE v. BELTRAN

Petition for review denied

Division Two

<b>S119193</b> D039499	Fourth Appellate District, Division One	PEOPLE v. VILLATORO Petition for review denied
<b>S119195</b> H024299	Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ Petition for review denied
<b>S119204</b> E032866	Fourth Appellate District, Division Two	PEOPLE v. MAQUINALES Petition for review denied
<b>S119207</b> B158285	Second Appellate District, Division Three	PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS Petition for review denied
	Second Appellate District, Division One	MORROW, ETC. v. AIG CLAIM SERVICES INC. Petition for review denied
<b>S119211</b> A098573	First Appellate District, Division Five	PEOPLE v. NOCHE Petition for review denied
	Fourth Appellate District, Division One	CALIFORNIA MARITIME ACADEMY v. ADAMS Petition for review denied
<b>S119213</b> C042524	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. WHEAT Petition for review denied
<b>S119215</b> E031945	Fourth Appellate District,	PEOPLE v. CABRAL Petition for review denied

<b>S119217</b> B159460	Second Appellate District, Division Five	PEOPLE v. OLIVARES Petition for review denied
<b>S119220</b> B168741	Second Appellate District, Division One	HISTON (LARRY) ON H.C. Petition for review denied
<b>S119223</b> E032865	Fourth Appellate District, Division Two	PEOPLE v. DODD Petition for review denied
<b>S119224</b> B160005	Second Appellate District, Division Five	PEOPLE v. LEWIS Petition for review denied
<b>S119229</b> H024673	Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. OLIVEIRA Petition for review denied
<b>S119231</b> B158874	Second Appellate District, Division Four	PEOPLE v. GAMBLIN Petition for review denied
<b>S119238</b> C041635	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GRAVES Petition for review denied
<b>S119243</b> C038936	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. CARRASCO Petition for review denied
<b>S119244</b> B118552	Second Appellate District, Division Seven	PEOPLE v. HOWARD Petition for review denied
<b>S119245</b> B164153	Second Appellate District, Division One	ALAN v. S.C. (UBS PAINEWEBBER) Petition for review & depublication request denied  George, C.J., and Baxter, J., were recused and did not participate.

<b>S119246</b> F041059	Fifth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. LYNCH Petition for review denied
<b>S119250</b>	Second Appellate District,	PEOPLE v. SANDOVAL
B156851	Division Three	Petition for review denied
<b>S119254</b>	Second Appellate District,	RODRIGUEZ (LUIS) ON H.C.
B169814	Division Two	Petition for review denied
<b>S119258</b> A101562	First Appellate District, Division One	F. (RAYMOND) ON H. C. Petition for review denied
<b>S119260</b>	First Appellate District,	F., (HYACINTH), IN RE
A100300	Division One	Petition for review denied
<b>S119265</b>	First Appellate District,	PEOPLE v. GASKINS
A100814	Division One	Petition for review denied
<b>S119268</b> C041753	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. MILES Petition for review denied
<b>S119269</b>	Second Appellate District,	PEOPLE v. COLLINS
B160373	Division Eight	Petition for review denied
<b>S119275</b> C042197	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. BILLS Petition for review denied
<b>S119277</b> C041908	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. THOMASON Petition for review denied

<b>S119278</b>	Second Appellate District,	A. (OMAR), IN RE
B163636	Division Three	Petition for review denied
<b>S119292</b>	Fourth Appellate District,	FANELLI v. S.C. (PEOPLE)
G032804	Division Three	Petition for review denied
<b>S119295</b> C039332	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. GALLON Petition for review denied
<b>S119299</b>	Second Appellate District,	PEOPLE v. TURNER
B161897	Division Three	Petition for review denied
<b>S119302</b> C041267	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. LO Petition for review denied
<b>S119305</b> A099125	First Appellate District, Division Two	PEOPLE v. ALFONSO Petition for review denied
<b>S119311</b>	Second Appellate District,	PEOPLE v. PASCASCIO
B158438	Division Four	Petition for review denied
<b>S119313</b> H023837	Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. MARINAS Petition for review denied
<b>S119315</b>	Second Appellate District,	GELRUTH v. S.C. (KAUFMAN)
B167772	Division One	Petition for review denied
<b>S119321</b>	Second Appellate District,	SERRANO (HENRY) ON H.C.
B169137	Division Four	Petition for review denied

<b>S119327</b> B162306	Second Appellate District, Division Five	PEOPLE v. DAVIS Petition for review denied
<b>S119332</b> E032631	Fourth Appellate District, Division Two	PAQUET v. WELLS FARGO BANK Petition for review denied  Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.
<b>S119335</b> B156633	Second Appellate District, Division Three	PEOPLE v. KHODANIAN Petition for review denied
<b>S119340</b> F043380	Fifth Appellate District	YOUNG v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review denied
<b>S119352</b> C040922	Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. FRANKLIN Petition for review denied
<b>S119549</b> F043441	Fifth Appellate District	YOUNG v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review and application for stay denied
<b>S119635</b> B170168	Second Appellate District, Division Eight	ZIFFREN v. S.C. (ZIFFREN) Petition for review and application for stay denied Request for judicial notice denied.
<b>S119651</b> A103982	First Appellate District, Division Four	D. (EDGAR) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Petition for review and application for stay denied
<b>S119998</b> C045036	Third Appellate District	JONES (RAY) ON H.C. Petition for review and application for stay denied

Brown, J., was recused and did not participate.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

McCLARY (LORRIE SUE) ON H.C.

SAN	FR	AN	CI	SI	$^{C}$
DAIN	1 1/	$\Delta \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}$	$\sim$ 1	$\omega$	$\sim$

## OCTOBER 29, 2003

1785

S112958 OLIVAS (JESSE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S114128 FIELDS (KEVIN E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S114165 WILLIAMS (KEVIN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S114168 WALKER (WALTER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

Brown, J., was recused and did not participate.

S114316 VASQUEZ (RICARDO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S114326 HILL (ANTONIO D.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S114354 WALKER (WALTER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S114390 SMITH (DONALD CRAIG) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S114393 DEY (EUGENE ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S114412 GONZALEZ (JAVIER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S114414 ESTRADA (PEDRO S.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S114415 STEWART (JAREY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S114434 MORENO (FELIPE M.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S114435 VIGIL (WILLIAM M.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S114436 MCCURDY (JOHN SCOTT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S114441 WALTON (LARRY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S115594 HILL (ANTONIO D.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

**S119297** FANELLI (MICHAEL ALFRED) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S119499 WILLIAMS (SHON O.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S119500 WILLIAMS (SHON O.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S119501 WILLIAMS (SHON O.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied with citation(s)

S118183 CLAY v. ROSSON

B158364 Second Appellate District, Publication request denied (case closed)

Division Three

S118733 DEL MAR SANDY LANE v. SAN DIEGUITO RIVER

D039324 Fourth Appellate District, Publication request denied (case closed)

Division One

11	1	Λ	Λ	Λ	1		
51	1	y	v	ソ	O		

B159258 Second Appellate District,

**Division Three** 

ATKINS v. DEPARTMENT OF WATER

Publication request denied (case closed)

#### S119205

G030481 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Three

GARAMENDI v. CFG INCORPORATED

Publication request denied (case closed)

S119318

A097480 First Appellate District,

**Division Four** 

SHADDOX v. BERTANI

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S119520

H025202 Sixth Appellate District

R. (COREY), IN RE

Publication request denied (case closed)

S119523

G029343 Fourth Appellate District,

**Division Three** 

ADAMS v. PACIFIC BELL DIRECTORY

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S119527

A102525 First Appellate District,

Division One

FAGAN v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S004439

PEOPLE v. SANDERS (RONALD LEE)

Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

Good cause appearing, the application of Eric E. Jorstad, appointed associate counsel *pro hac vice* (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 983) for appointment as lead counsel for condemned inmate Ronald Lee Sanders, in place of counsel *pro hac vice* Robert L. Collins (now deceased), filed October 16, 2003, is granted.

The order appointing Robert L. Collins of the State of Minnesota as lead counsel *pro hac vice* for condemned inmate Ronald Lee Sanders, filed June 15, 1994, is hereby vacated.

Appointed associate counsel *pro hac vice* Eric E. Jorstad of the State of Minnesota is hereby appointed as lead counsel for condemned

inmate Ronald Lee Sanders. Counsel is appointed for purposes of all postconviction proceedings in this court, and for subsequent proceedings, including the preparation and filing of a petition for clemency with the Governor of California, as appropriate.

Mary Cullen Yeager of the State of Minnesota and California Bar member Nina Rivkind shall remain as appointed associate counsel for condemned inmate Ronald Lee Sanders

S012644

## PEOPLE v. MEDINA (TEOFILO) Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

Good cause appearing, the application of appointed counsel for permission to withdraw as attorney of record for condemned prisoner Teofilo Medina, Jr., filed October 6, 2003, is granted.

The order appointing the State Public Defender as counsel of record for condemned prisoner Teofilo Medina, Jr., filed June 26, 1991, is hereby vacated.

Robert B. Amidon is hereby appointed as attorney of record for condemned prisoner Teofilo Medina, Jr. Counsel is appointed for purposes of all postconviction proceedings in this court, and for subsequent proceedings, including the preparation and filing of a petition for clemency with the Governor of California, as appropriate.

S024416

# PEOPLE v. CLEVELAND AND VEASLEY Withdrawal of counsel allowed by order

Good cause appearing, the application of appointed associate counsel for permission to withdraw as attorney of record for appellant Chauncey Jamal Veasley, filed October 14, 2003, is granted.

The order appointing Jill M. Bojarski as associate counsel of record for appellant

Chauncey Jamal Veasley, filed April 28, is hereby vacated.

David J. Macher shall remain as counsel of record for appellant Chauncey Jamal Veasley on his direct appeal and related state habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings.

S072082

## MILLWEE (DONALD RAY) ON H.C. Motion denied

Petitioner's "Motion to Amend Habeas Petition," filed November 22, 2000, is denied.

The following documents, which were received November 22, 2000, are ordered to be filed nunc pro tunc on November 22, 2000, as a new original petition for writ of habeas corpus: (1) "Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," and (2) "Exhibits to Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," Volumes One through Seven.

The document entitled "Supplemental Exhibits to Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," received May 10, 2001, is ordered to be filed nunc pro tunc on May 10, 2001, as a supplemental exhibit to the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on November 22, 2000.

The clerk is further directed to strike from the docket the document entitled "Second Supplemental Exhibits to Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," filed on November 1, 2001, and to refile the document nunc pro tunc on November 1, 2001, as a supplemental exhibit to the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on November 22, 2000.

### S112816

G027714 Fourth Appellate District, G027834 Division Three

VEDANTA SOCIETY v. CALIFORNIA QUARTET Motion denied

'Respondents' Joint Notice of Motion and Motion to Strike Portions of Appellant's Opening Brief,' filed in this court on October 1, 2003, is denied. The parties are directed to brief and argue only the issue specified in this court's order granting review, filed on February 25, 2003.

D035995 Fourth Appellate District,

### L. (JOHN D.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Supplemental letter brief requested

The parties are requested to submit supplemental briefs limited to the following questions:

- 1. As a matter of statutory construction, do the amendments made by the Gang Violence and Juvenile Crime Prevention Initiative (Prop. 21, Primary Elec. (Mar. 7, 2002)) to Welfare and Institutions Code section 777 apply to all proceedings conducted under section 777 after the effective date of the amendments, regardless of the date on which the criminal or other conduct that underlies the proceedings took place?
- 2. What is the effect, if any, of <u>In re Eddie</u> <u>M.</u> (2003) 31 Cal.4th 480 on petitioners' argument that, as applied to them, the amendments to section 777 involve increased "punishment" for a crime/crimes committed prior to the effective date of the amendments, in violation of the ex post facto clause of the United States Constitution? (See U.S. Const., art. I, § 9, cl. 3.)

Simultaneous briefs, in letter form, shall be filed on or before November 10, 2003. Simultaneous reply briefs, also in letter form, shall be filed on or before November 20, 2003.

### S098928

B142625 Second Appellate District, Division Three

## ALVA (LEON C.) ON H.C. Supplemental letter brief requested

Petitioner Alva is requested to file a response to the supplemental brief filed by respondent, the People, on March 13, 2003, and limited to addressing the effect, if any, of *Smith v. Doe* (2003) \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_ [123 S.Ct. 1140] on the issues presented by this case. The brief may be in letter form, and shall be filed on or before November 10, 2003.

B144386 Second Appellate District, Division Two S. (WALTER), IN RE

Supplemental letter brief requested

The parties are requested to submit supplemental briefs limited to addressing the effect, if any, of *Smith v. Doe* (2003) \_\_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_ [123 S.Ct. 1140] on the issues presented by this case. Simultaneous briefs, in letter form, shall be filed on or before November 10, 2003. Simultaneous reply briefs, also in letter form, shall be filed on or before November 20, 2003.

S117651

B150342 Second Appellate District, Division Three PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ ET AL.

Order filed

Appellant Jose Pablo Hernandez is granted permission to brief both issues pending before this court.

S023628

PEOPLE v. SAPP

Court's 150 day statement